FINCHLEY & GOLDERS GREEN RESIDENTS FORUM ACTION SHEET 10 MARCH 2010

held at St Michael's Church Hall, The Ridings, Off Golders Green Road, NW11

*Chairman: Councillor Dean Cohen

Vice Chairman: Councillor Jazmin Naghar

(*denotes Councillor present)

	Subject	Response	Action:
1	Petition with 26 signatures	Nooponios	The Council's programme
	from residents of Sneath		of investigative work
	Avenue, NW11		relating to traffic and
			parking issues is currently
	Residents requested:	It was felt that the 42% response to	under review and
	a one-way system with	the Council's informal survey within	therefore the original consultation date of
	Ambrose Avenue as Sneath Avenue was very	the area 2 years ago did not give the Council the mandate to go	June/July has been
	narrow and sometimes	ahead and do a statutory	deferred pending the
	resulted in cars reversing	consultation of a proposal. The	review.
	into traffic on Golders	Council was planning to carry out	
	Green Road. Residents	another informal survey in June /	It is therefore likely that
	wanted to keep Highfield	July 2010. This survey would be a	assuming it is agreed that
	Road two-way for	fact finding exercise and may not	a survey should still take
	ambulance access.	lead to the solutions requested. However, it must be stressed that	place it is envisaged that it would take place in
	 a controlled parking zone (CPZ) as it was impossible 	residents should respond to the	September 2010.
	to park in the area as it	survey as the Council uses it to	Although originally
	was on the boundary of	decide whether to proceed with a	intended that it would be
	the Golders Green CPZ.	statutory consultation.	a parking investigation
			survey the request for
			investigation into the
			concern with traffic movement issues and
			suggestion of a one way
			system will be looked at
			in context of the review.
			140
2	Since the middle of January,	An officer met with Thames Water	When the site was
	water had been flowing onto the pavement outside 488	representatives. Investigations found that the property was being	inspected, the water leaking from the house
	Finchley Road NW11, near	squatted or used by homeless	had ceased. However, a
	the Llanvanor Road	people they had cut a service pipe	leaking valve on the
	southbound bus stop. This	in order to gain access to mains	footway was noted.
	problem had multiplied, and	water. Therefore, there was no	Thames Water are aware
	the leak became five. The	method of turning the water off and	and repairs are planned.
	pavement became slippery	it was running out from the garden	
	when it froze, and the water	on to the highway.	
	was damaging the road surface by the bus stop. Also,	Thames Water was arranging for a	
	the water caused deep	team to identify the location of the	
	puddles in the road and	pipe and try to access it from the	
	splashed passengers waiting	highway to cut off the supply.	
	for the buses.	Otherwise, Thames Water would	
		need to gain access to the property	
	Thames Water were aware of	by serving notice on the property	

	Subject	Response	Action:
	the problem and said it was 'under investigation', but this had now gone on for over six weeks. Could the Council do anything to get some action?	owner. The Council would continue to monitor the progress and press Thames for a speedy resolution.	
3	Nant Road width restriction		
(a)	One of the metal posts that formed the barrier was left unlocked for a long period which resulted in the post now going missing. Can this post be urgently replaced to stop heavy lorries from using this route as a shortcut down Crewys Road and Llanvanor Road?	A work order had been raised to replace the missing metal post. It was hoped that the work would be completed by the end of the week.	The Nant Road width restriction had been repaired.
(b)	Next to the broken barrier, a large and dangerous pothole had appeared in the road putting motorists, cyclists and motorcyclists at risk of injury and damage to their vehicles. The hole was being exacerbated by the heavy lorries now using this route.	The pothole had now been filled.	No further action.
4	Cricklewood Alcohol Free Zone		
(a)	Can the Council provide information on progress?	A report was scheduled to be presented to Cabinet on 12 April 2010. A public notice inviting seeking representations commenced 15 February 2010 with a closing date for representations on 17 March 2010. Notices have been put up in	The zone was implemented and operational since Friday 21 May 2010.
		the proposed area on 18 February 2010.	
		Also, letters were delivered by hand to all licensed premises in the area on 18 February 2010. Further letters went sent to the neighbouring boroughs and Police forces on 18 February 2010.	
(b)	What will Cabinet consider on 12 April?	Cabinet would consider the responses to the consultation and decide whether to approve the	No further action.

	Subject	Response	Action:
(c)	If Cabinet decided to approve the zone would it be advertised?	alcohol free zone. The Council would advertise the zone by various means which would include the erection of street signs.	No further action.
(d)	What is the precise area it will cover?	See the map below.	No further action.



	Subject	Response	Action:
5	Recently a healthy alder tree was knocked down in Nant Road at a resident's request for a dropped curve and driveway. I would like to see the reasoning behind:		
(a)	allowing driveways for residents when this only encourages car use; all councils should, surely, be taking the opposite course;	The Council provides a crossover service to all residents on a strictly rechargeable basis. Therefore, the applicant paid all the costs, including the Council's. All properties adjoining a public highway have a common law right of vehicular access. The Council can only refuse crossover applications under certain circumstances, the most common being traffic safety and the presence of trees.	No further action.
(b)	destroying a healthy tree (and the habitat therein) to make room for such! What are the policies for allowing or disallowing dropped pavements for driveways and the (possible) consequences for trees?	The Council's tree officer for considers all crossover applications affecting trees. In this case, it was decided that the Alder tree does not have a high amenity value and it was agreed to remove it. The Alder was in reasonably good health, but was young and had already caused some footway trip hazards that would only increase if left. Alders do not have a large amenity value, especially when a negative issue was noted to the tree. Therefore, it was decided that for better future management this tree could be removed as part of the crossover application and a new more appropriate species (Pink flowering cherry) replanted at a later date. It must be stressed that each tree is valued on its own individual merit and healthy trees are rarely removed. If a tree was removed, as in this case, the crossover applicant would be charged for the removal and the planting of a replacement tree nearby.	No further action.

	Subject	Response	Action:
		When the Council's tree officer considers a tree has a high amenity value the crossover application would be refused. However, the applicant can appeal against that decision. The appeal process would involve consulting the three ward Members and the final decision being made by the Cabinet Member and the Chairman of the appropriate Environment Sub Committee, in consultation with Council officers.	
6	Graffiti removal		
(a)	Can the Council provide details of the officer who will now be responsible for removing graffiti around Cricklewood? The previous officer did an excellent job.	The Street Cleansing and Ancillary Services Manager, will be the contact from 1 April 2010. He would be happy to meet with residents or residents groups to discuss / solve problems.	No further action.
(b)	Can the Council confirm that the service would remain as good? Graffiti remains a huge problem in Cricklewood with it being removed regularly before it attracts further graffiti.	The previous service would remain, and enquiries would need to go through customer services (020 8359 4600) so that they are logged onto the system to enable an audit trail and for operational reasons.	There have been no adverse reports of grafitti removal in the area taking longer than before.
(c)	Can the Council confirm that the 48-hour removal of graffiti would continue?	The Street Cleansing and Ancillary Services Manager to respond.	The 48 hour removal remains the same.
7	Willifield Green		It was agreed that a
(a)	Concerned residents raised the following issues: school children's safety was paramount; speeding cars; dangerous footway parking; flouting of parking restrictions at Willifield Green; traffic wardens too scared to ticket school parents vehicles; and parking on corners and roundabouts.	The Council noted the concerns of residents and would consult with the ward Councillors, residents association and the schools about possible schemes to alleviate the concerns raised by residents. The Council intend to take enforcement action in the vicinity and would investigate why traffic wardens were not ticketing vehicles.	meeting would be arranged after a suitable period following the local and general elections. Officers are currently trying to arrange for a meeting to take place before the end of the school term. With regard to adequate parking enforcement the Council are to undertake a concerted enforcement exercise over the next few weeks in order to deter the dangerous and obstructive parking that can take place

_	Subject	Response	Action:	
(b)	 Residents also requested: kerbside parking for vehicles; speed tables; and provision of a drop-off and collection point for local schools. 	The Council when consulting with the ward Councillors, residents association and the schools (see answer to 7 (a) above) would look into these requests.	See above	
8	Request for a one-hour controlled parking zone (CPZ) in the Garden Suburb close to Temple Fortune, in particular, Hampstead Way, Asmuns Hill and Temple Fortune Hill. Concerned residents raised the following issues: a cpz to the south of Hampstead Garden Suburb; inconvenience of free all-day parking by commuters; adverse affect of all-day parking on local residents and shops; request for a pedestrian crossing at the junction of Asmun Hill and Willifield Avenue; and the effect of the Temple Fortune CPZ on the area.	A review of the CPZ will take place in early summer with subsequent consideration being given to those roads that are located outside the existing CPZ area.	The Council's programme of investigative work relating to traffic and parking issues is currently under review and the original consultation date of June/July has been deferred pending the review. It is likely, assuming it is agreed, that a survey should still take place and it is envisaged that it will take place in September 2010.	
9	A resident thanked the Council for repairing the steps outside B&Q in Cricklewood.	Noted.		
	The next meeting of the Finchley and Golders Green Residents Forum will be held on Wednesday, 17 June 2010 at 6.30pm at Avenue House, 17 East End Road, Finchley N3			

The Forum which started at 6.30pm ended at 7.58pm

Officers Present:

Jeff Lustig – Director of Corporate Governance
Martin Cowie – Head of Planning and Development Management
Neil Richardson – Highways Manager
Jonathan Regal – Democratic Services Officer

PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE AND SUB-COMMITTEE MEETINGS (meetings usually start at 7.00pm)

PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

Hendon Town Hall, The Burroughs, London, NW4 4BG

Democratic Services Contact: Maria Lugangira, 020 8359 2761 or email

maria.lugangira@barnet.gov.uk

Date: 1 July 2010, 29 July

Public requests to speak at Planning & Environment Committee

Written requests to speak on planning applications should be notified to the relevant Area Planning Officer by 10.00am on the 2nd working day before the day of the meeting.

<u>Public requests to speak at Planning & Environment Committee on matters other than planning matters</u> Written requests to speak on matters other than planning applications must be received by the Democratic Services Manager by 10.00am on the 2nd working day before the day of the meeting.

Public requests to ask questions at Planning & Environment Committee

Any request to ask a question (exact wording) on the work of the Committee must be received by the Democratic Services Manager by 10.00am on the 7th working day before the day of the meeting.

AREA PLANNING SUB-COMMITTEE

Finchley & Golders Green - Hendon Town Hall, The Burroughs, London, NW4 4BG

Democratic Services Contact: Stephanie Chaikin - 020 8359 2019 or email

stephanie.chaikin@barnet.gov.uk

Date: 22 June 2010, 6 July 2010, 28 July

Hendon - Hendon Town Hall, The Burroughs, London, NW4 4BG

Democratic Services Contact: Paul Frost – 020 8359 2025 or email paul.frost@barnet.gov.uk

Date: 22 June 2010, 6 July 2010, 28 July

Chipping Barnet – Hendon Town Hall, The Burroughs, London, NW4 4BG

Democratic Services Contact: Pauline Bagley – 020 8359 2023 or email pauline.bagley@barnet.gov.uk Date: 22 June 2010, 6 July 2010, 28 July

AREA ENVIRONMENT SUB-COMMITTEE

Chipping Barnet - Hendon Town Hall, The Burroughs, London, NW4 4BG

Democratic Services Contact: Stephanie Chaikin – 020 8359 2019 or email

stephanie.chaikin@barnet.gov.uk

Date: 24 June

Finchley & Golders Green – Hendon Town Hall, The Burroughs, London, NW4 4BG

Democratic Services Contact: Nick Musgrove – 020 8359 2024 or email nick.musgrove@barnet.gov.uk

Date: 24 June

Hendon – Hendon Town Hall, The Burroughs, London, NW4 4BG

Democratic Services Contact: Jonathan Regal – 020 8359 2012 or email jonathan.regal@barnet.gov.uk

Date: 24 June